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#### Abstract

This paper based on King's fourth order methods. A class of eighth-order methods is presented for solving simple roots of nonlinear equations. The class is developed by combining King's fourth-order method and Newton's method as a third step using the forward divided difference and multiplication of three weight function. Some numerical comparisons have been considered to show the performance of the proposed method.
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## 1. Introduction

To find a simple root of nonlinear equations is the most and oldest problems in numerical analysis. In scientific departments, a need arises to solve nonlinear equations.

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x)=0 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f: \mathrm{D} \subset \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ for an open interval D .
The Newton's method (NM) is the famous method to solve the nonlinear equation, see for example [1-16].

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{n+1}=x_{n}-\frac{f\left(x_{n}\right)}{f\left(x_{n}\right)} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Newton's method is optimal quadratic convergence [1-16]. The efficiency index (EI) can be defined by $\delta^{1 / \alpha}$, such that $\delta$ is the order of the method and $\alpha$ is number of total function and its derivative evaluations per iteration [7, 14]. According to the optimality, optimal order is given by $2^{\alpha-1}$ [9]. The efficiency index of the method (2), EI $=2^{1 / 2} \approx 1.4142$.

In recent years there are many methods of the optimal three-step eighth-order to solve nonlinear equations, developed in [1-6]. A family of two steps is proposed by King [2], given by

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mathrm{w}_{n}=\mathrm{x}_{n}-\frac{f(x)}{f^{\prime}(x)} \\
\mathrm{z}_{n}=\mathrm{w}_{n}-\frac{f(x)+\beta f(w)}{f(x)+(\beta-2) f(w)} \tag{3}
\end{gather*}
$$

Where $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$, this family is optimal fourth-order of convergence, and has efficiency index $4^{1 / 3} \approx 1.5874$.

## 2. The method and convergence analysis

Our aim is to develop a scheme that improves the order of convergence of King's methods (3). Next theorem will be an initial used to develop a new class of families of optimal eight-order of convergence.

Theorem 1.[11]: Let $\varphi_{1}(x), \varphi_{2}(x), \ldots, \varphi_{s}(x)$ be iterative functions with the orders $p_{1}, p_{2}, \ldots, p_{s}$, respectively. Then the composition of iterative functions $\varphi_{1}\left(\varphi_{2}\left(\ldots\left(\varphi_{s}(x)\right) \ldots\right)\right)$, defines the iterative method of the order $p_{1} p_{2} \ldots p_{s}$.

Using theorem 1, and adding the Newton's method as a third, to King ${ }^{\text {s }}$ s method (3)

$$
\begin{align*}
& w_{n}=x_{n}-\frac{f(x)}{f^{\prime}(x)} \\
& \mathrm{z}_{n}=w_{n}-\frac{f(x)+\beta f(w)}{f(x)+(\beta-2) f(w)} \frac{f(w)}{f^{\prime}(x)^{x}} \\
& x_{n+1}=z_{n}-\frac{f\left(z_{n}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(z_{n}\right)^{x}} \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

The method (4) has IE $=8^{1 / 5} \approx 1.5157 x$ and is not optimal. To reduce the numbers of functions evaluation of method (4) to four, to a develop family of optimal eighth-order of convergence methods. Replacing $f^{\prime}\left(z_{n}\right)$ to $\frac{\mathrm{f}\left[x_{n} z_{n}\right] f\left[w_{n} z_{n}\right]}{\mathrm{f}\left[x_{n}, w_{n}\right]}$ using forward divided difference, where:
$f\left[w_{n}, z_{n}\right]=\frac{f\left(z_{n}\right)-f\left(w_{n}\right)}{z_{n}-w_{n}}, f\left[x_{n}, z_{n}\right]=\frac{f\left(z_{n}\right)-f\left(x_{n}\right)}{z_{n}-x_{n}}, f\left[x_{n}, w_{n}\right]=\frac{f\left(x_{n}\right)-f\left(w_{n}\right)}{x_{n}-w_{n}}$.
To reduce the number of function evaluation from $\alpha=5$ to $\alpha=4$, equivalent construction of weighted functions, to increase the convergence third step will be multiply by $H, K$ and $B$.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{w}_{n}=\mathrm{x}_{n}-\frac{f\left(\mathrm{x}_{n}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(\mathrm{x}_{n}\right)}, \\
& \mathrm{z}_{n}=\mathrm{w}_{n}-\frac{f\left(\mathrm{x}_{n}\right)+\beta f\left(\mathrm{w}_{n}\right)}{f\left(\mathrm{x}_{n}\right)+(\beta-2) f\left(\mathrm{w}_{n}\right)} \frac{f\left(\mathrm{w}_{n}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(\mathrm{x}_{n}\right)}, \\
& x_{n+1}=z_{n}-\left\{H\left(s_{1}\right) \cdot K\left(s_{2}\right) \cdot B\left(s_{3}\right)\right\} \frac{f\left(z_{n}\right) f\left(x_{n} w_{n}\right]}{f\left[w_{n} v_{n}\right] f\left[x_{n} z_{n}\right]} \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\mathrm{H}\left(s_{1}\right), \mathrm{K}\left(s_{2}\right), \mathrm{B}\left(s_{2}\right)$, are three real-valued weight functions, and
$s_{1}=\frac{\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{w})}{\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{x})}, \mathrm{s}_{2}=\frac{\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{z})}{\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{w})}, \mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{a}}=\frac{\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{z})}{\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{x})}$.
The weight functions $H, K$ and $B$ should be chosen such that the order of convergence of method (5) arrives at an optimal level of eight. The following theorem we prove that method (5) has an optimal eighth-order of convergence under conditions for the weighted functions.

Theorem 2. Let $\gamma$ in an open interval D be a simple root of a sufficiently differentiable function $f: \mathrm{D} \subseteq R \rightarrow R$. an open interval D. If $\mathrm{x}_{0}$ is sufficiently close to $\gamma$ then the family of iterative methods (1) has an optimal eighth-order of convergence when
$H(0)=1, H^{\cdot}(0)=H^{\prime \prime}(0)=0, H^{\prime \prime \prime}(0)=-12 \beta,\left\|H^{(4)}(0)\right\|<\infty$
$\mathrm{K}(0)=1, \mathrm{~K}^{\prime}(0)=0,\left\|\mathrm{~K}^{\prime \prime}(0)\right\|<\infty, \mathrm{B}(0)=1, \mathrm{~B}^{\prime}(0)=1$.
Proof: Let $\gamma$ be a simple zero of equation (1) and Let $\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{n}}=\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}-\gamma$ be the error at the nth iteration.
Expanding $f(x)$ about $y$ by Taylor expansion, we have
$f(x)=f^{\prime}(\gamma)\left(e_{n}+c_{2} e_{n}^{2}+c_{1} e_{n}^{2}+c_{4} e_{n}^{4}+c_{5} e_{n}^{5}+c_{6} e_{n}{ }^{6}+c_{7} e_{n}^{7}+c_{8} e_{n}{ }^{2}+c_{9} e_{n}{ }^{9}\right)$.
Where $c_{k}=\frac{f^{(\mathbb{k})}(\mathbb{1})}{k\lfloor f(W)}, k=2,3, \ldots$.
$f^{\prime}(x)=f^{\prime}(y)\left(1+2 c_{2} e_{n}+3 c_{2} e_{n}^{2}+4 c_{4} e_{n}{ }^{2}+5 c_{5} e_{n}^{4}+6 c_{6} e_{n}^{5}+7 c_{7} e_{n}{ }^{6}+8 c_{8} e_{n}^{7}+9 c_{9} e_{n}{ }^{8}\right)$.
Dividing (7) by (8), gives us

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{f(x)}{f(x)}=e_{n}+\left(-c_{2}\right) e_{n}^{2}+\left(2 c_{2}^{2}-2 c_{3}\right) e_{n}^{3}+\ldots+\left(-64 c_{2}^{7}+\ldots+135 c_{2} c_{3}^{3}-44 c_{2}^{2} c_{6}-118 c_{2} c_{3} c_{5}-64 c_{2} c_{4}^{2}-75 c_{3}^{2} c_{4}+\right. \\
& \left.19 c_{2} c_{7}+27 c_{3} c_{6}+31 c_{4} c_{5}-7 c_{8}\right) e_{n}^{8}+0\left(e_{n}^{9}\right) \tag{9}
\end{align*}
$$

Substituting the last equation (9), into first step of (5), we have:
$w_{n}=\gamma+c_{2} e_{n}^{2}+\left(-2 c_{2}^{2}+2 c_{3}\right) e_{n}^{3}+\ldots+\left(64 c_{2}^{7}-304 c_{2}^{5} c_{3}+176 c_{2}^{4} c_{4}+\ldots+75 c_{3}^{2} c_{4}-19 c_{2} c_{7}-27 c_{3} c_{6}-31 c_{4} c_{5}+\right.$ $\left.7 c_{8}\right) e_{n}{ }^{8}+O\left(e_{n}{ }^{9}\right)$.

Expanding $f\left(w_{n}\right)$ about $\gamma$ to get
$f\left(w_{n}\right)=f^{\prime}(\gamma)\left[\left(-2 c_{2}^{2}+2 c_{a}\right) e_{n}^{3}+\ldots+\left(144 c_{2}^{7}-552 c_{2}^{5} c_{2}+297 c_{2}^{4} c_{4}+\ldots+73 c_{2} c_{4}^{2}+c_{2}^{4}+75 c_{2}^{2} c_{4}-19 c_{2} c_{7}-\right.\right.$ $\left.\left.27 c_{1} c_{6}-31 c_{4} c_{5}+7 c_{8}\right) e_{n}{ }^{2}\right]+O\left(e_{n}{ }^{9}\right)$.

In view of (7), (8), (9) and (11), we get
$z_{n}=\gamma+\left(2 \beta c_{2}^{3}+c_{2}^{3}-c_{2} c_{3}\right) e_{n}^{4}+\ldots+\left(2 \beta^{5} c_{2}^{7}+\ldots+20 c_{2}^{2} c_{6}+68 c_{2} c_{3} c_{5}+37 c_{2} c_{4}^{2}+50 c_{3}^{2} c_{4}-5 c_{2} c_{7}-13 c_{3} c_{6}-\right.$ $\left.17 c_{4} c_{5}\right) e_{n}{ }^{8}+O\left(e_{n}{ }^{9}\right)$.

From (12), we have
$f\left(z_{n}\right)=f^{\prime}(\gamma)\left[\left(2 \beta c_{2}^{3}+c_{2}^{3}-c_{2} c_{a}\right) e_{n}^{4}+\ldots+\left(-144 \beta^{2} c_{2}^{2} c_{a} c_{4}-612 \beta c_{2}^{2} c_{a} c_{4}+96 \beta c_{2} c_{a} c_{5}+\ldots+50 c_{2}^{2} c_{4}-5 c_{2} c_{7}-\right.\right.$ $\left.\left.13 c_{3} c_{6}-17 c_{4} c_{5}\right) e_{n}{ }^{8}\right]+0\left(e_{n}{ }^{9}\right)$

From (7), (10)-(13), it can be easily to found
$f\left[x_{n}, w_{n}\right]=f^{\prime}(\gamma)\left[\left(1+c_{2} e_{n}+\ldots+\left(92 c_{2}^{2} c_{2} c_{4}-30 c_{2} c_{2} c_{5}+\ldots+7 c_{2} c_{6}+7 c_{4} c_{5}+c_{8}-32 c_{2}^{7}\right) e_{n}{ }^{7}\right]+0\left(e_{n}{ }^{8}\right)\right.$
$f\left[w_{n}, z_{n}\right]=f^{\prime}(\gamma)\left[1+c_{2}^{2} e_{n}^{2}+\ldots+\left(-18 c_{2} c_{3} c_{4}-82 \beta c_{2}^{4} c_{3}+\ldots+4 c_{3}^{3}+5 c_{2} c_{6}+26 c_{2}^{6}\right) e_{n}{ }^{6}\right]+0\left(e_{n}{ }^{7}\right)$.
$f\left[x_{n}, z_{n}\right]=f^{\prime}(\gamma)\left[1+c_{2} e_{n}+c_{2} e_{n}^{2}+\ldots+\left(72 c_{2}^{2} c_{3} c_{4}+\ldots+30 c_{2} c_{3}^{3}-4 c_{2}^{2} c_{6}-8 c_{2} c_{4}^{2}-9 c_{2}^{2} c_{4}+c_{8}-20 c_{2}^{7}\right) e_{n}{ }^{7}\right]+$
$O\left(e_{n}{ }^{2}\right)$
By expanding $H\left(s_{1}\right), K\left(s_{2}\right), B\left(s_{2}\right)$ using Taylor expansion, we have
$\mathrm{H}\left(\mathrm{s}_{1}\right)=\mathrm{H}(0)+\mathrm{H}^{\prime}(0) \mathrm{s}_{1}+\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{n}}(0) \mathrm{s}_{1}{ }^{2}+\frac{1}{6} \mathrm{H}^{\prime \prime \prime}(0) \mathrm{s}_{1}{ }^{2}+\frac{1}{24} \mathrm{H}^{(4)}(0) \mathrm{s}_{1}^{4}+\ldots+\mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{s}_{1}{ }^{9}\right)$.
$\mathrm{K}\left(s_{2}\right)=\mathrm{K}(0)+\mathrm{K}^{\prime}(0) s_{2}+\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{~K}^{\prime \prime}(0) s_{2}{ }^{2}+\frac{1}{6} \mathrm{~K}^{\prime \prime \prime}(0) s_{2}{ }^{a}+\ldots+0\left(s_{2}{ }^{9}\right)$.
$B\left(s_{3}\right)=B(0)+B^{\prime}(0) s_{3}+\ldots+O\left(s_{3}{ }^{9}\right)$.
Finally, using (12)-(16) and the conditions
$\mathrm{H}(0)=1, \mathrm{H}^{\prime}(0)=\mathrm{H}^{\prime \prime}(0)=0, \mathrm{H}^{\prime \prime \prime}(0)=-12 \beta,\left|H^{(4)}(0)\right|<\infty$
$K(0)=1, K '(0)=0, B(0)=1, B n(0)=1,\|K '(0)\|<\infty$,
we obtain the error expression
$e_{m+1}=\gamma+\left(-\frac{1}{12} \beta \mathrm{c}_{2} H^{(4)}(0)-\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{c}_{2}^{7} \mathrm{~K}^{\prime \prime}(0)+\ldots+4 \mathrm{c}_{2}^{3} \mathrm{c}_{3}^{2}\right) e_{n}{ }^{8}+0\left(e_{n}{ }^{9}\right)$.
Which indicates that the order of convergence of the family (5) is exactly eighth for any value of $\beta \in R$. This completes the proof.

## 3. The Concrete Iterative Methods

In what follows, we give some iterative forms of scheme (5)
Method1: choosing

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
H\left(s_{1}\right)=1+\left(-2 \beta s_{1}^{3}\right)+\left(d s_{1}^{a+1}\right), & \text { where, } a \geq 3, a_{s} d_{v} \beta \in R_{v} \\
K\left(s_{2}\right)=1+\left(t s_{2}^{b}\right), & b>1_{v} t \in R_{v} \\
B\left(s_{a}\right)=1+s_{a}+\left(m s_{a}^{k}\right), & \text { where } u>1, u s m \in R_{v}
\end{array}
$$

it can easily be seen that the functions satisfies conditions of Theorem 2. We get another eighth order methods (TSM1), given by
$\mathrm{w}_{n}=\mathrm{x}_{n}-\frac{f\left(\mathrm{x}_{n}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(\mathrm{x}_{n}\right)}$.
$\mathrm{z}_{n}=\mathrm{w}_{n}-\frac{f\left(\mathrm{x}_{n}\right)+\beta f\left(\mathrm{w}_{n}\right)}{f\left(\mathrm{x}_{n}\right)+(\beta-2) f\left(\mathrm{w}_{n}\right)} \frac{f\left(\mathrm{w}_{n}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(\mathrm{x}_{n}\right)}$,
$x_{n+1}=z_{n}-\mathrm{tt} s_{2}{ }^{b}\left(\mathrm{dm} s_{1}{ }^{a+1}{s_{3}}^{u}+\mathrm{d} s_{3} s_{1}{ }^{a+1}+\mathrm{d} s_{1}{ }^{a+1}-2 \beta \mathrm{~m} s_{1}{ }^{3} s_{3}{ }^{u}+\mathrm{m}_{3}{ }^{u}-2 \beta s_{1}{ }^{3}-2 \beta s_{1}{ }^{3} s_{3}+s_{3}+\right.$

1) $\left.+\mathrm{d} s_{1}{ }^{a+1}\left(\mathrm{~m}_{3}{ }^{u}+s_{3}+1\right)-2 \beta s_{1}{ }^{3}\left(\mathrm{~m}_{3}{ }^{u}+s_{3}+1\right)+\mathrm{m} s_{3}{ }^{u}+s_{3}+1\right\} \frac{f\left(s_{n}\right) f\left[x_{n} w_{n}\right]}{f\left[w_{n} z_{n}\right] f\left[x_{n} z_{n}\right]}$

Method 2 : Let
$H\left(s_{1}\right)=1+\left(-2 \beta s_{1}{ }^{2}\right), \quad \beta \in R_{x}$
$K\left(s_{2}\right)=1+s_{2}{ }^{l} e^{s_{2}} \quad j \in R_{v}$
$B\left(s_{\mathrm{a}}\right)=\sin \left(s_{a}\right)+\cos \left(s_{2}\right)$ s
it can easily be seen that the functions satisfies conditions of Theorem 2.
Hence we get a family of eighth-order of method, (TSM2),
$\mathrm{w}_{n}=\mathrm{x}_{n}-\frac{f\left(\mathrm{x}_{n}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(\mathrm{x}_{n}\right)}$,
$\mathrm{z}_{n}=\mathrm{w}_{n}-\frac{f\left(\mathrm{x}_{n}\right)+\beta f\left(\mathrm{w}_{n}\right)}{f\left(\mathrm{x}_{n}\right)+(\beta-2) f\left(\mathrm{w}_{n}\right)} \frac{f\left(\mathrm{w}_{n}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(\mathrm{x}_{n}\right)}$
$x_{n+1}=z_{n}-\left\{\mathrm{s}_{2}^{\mathrm{j}} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{s}_{2}}\left\{\left\{\sin \left(\mathrm{~s}_{3}\right)+\cos \left(\mathrm{s}_{3}\right)\right\}\left\{-2 \beta \mathrm{~s}_{1}^{3}+1\right\}\right\}+\left\{\sin \left(\mathrm{s}_{3}\right)+\cos \left(\mathrm{s}_{3}\right)\right\}\left\{-2 \beta \mathrm{~s}_{1}^{3}+1\right\}\right\} \frac{f\left[z_{n}\right) f\left(x_{n} w_{n}\right]}{f\left[w_{n} z_{n}\right] f\left[x_{n} z_{n}\right]}$.
Method 3: For the function $H, K$ and $B$ defined by
$H\left(s_{1}\right)=1+\left(-2 \beta s_{1} e^{a^{s_{2}}}\right), \quad \beta \in R_{x}$
$K\left(s_{2}\right)=1+s_{2}{ }^{4}$ 。
$B\left(s_{\mathrm{a}}\right)=1+\sin \left(s_{2}\right)$.
Another new eighth order methods (TSM3), given by
$\mathrm{w}_{n}=\mathrm{x}_{n}-\frac{f\left(\mathrm{x}_{n}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(\mathrm{x}_{n}\right)}$,
$\mathrm{z}_{n}=\mathrm{w}_{n}-\frac{f\left(\mathrm{x}_{n}\right)+\beta f\left(\mathrm{w}_{n}\right)}{f\left(\mathrm{x}_{n}\right)+(\beta-2) f\left(\mathrm{w}_{n}\right)} \frac{f\left(\mathrm{w}_{n}\right)}{f \cdot\left(\mathrm{x}_{n}\right)}$,
$x_{n+1}=z_{n}-\left\{-2 \beta_{\mathrm{s}_{1}}{ }^{3} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{s}_{1}}\left(\mathrm{~s}_{2}{ }^{4}+\mathrm{s}_{2}{ }^{4} \sin \left(\mathrm{~s}_{3}\right)+\sin \left(\mathrm{s}_{3}\right)+1\right)+\left(\mathrm{s}_{2}{ }^{4}+1\right)\left(\sin \left(\mathrm{s}_{3}\right)+1\right)\right\} \frac{f\left(z_{n}\right) f\left\lfloor x_{n} w_{n}\right\rfloor}{f\left[w_{n} z_{n}\right] f\left[x_{n} z_{n}\right]}$
Method 4: let

```
\(H\left(s_{1}\right)=\cos \left(s_{1}\right)+\frac{s_{1}{ }^{2}}{2}+\left(-2 \beta s_{1}^{2}\right), \quad \beta \in R_{x}\)
\(K\left(s_{2}\right)=1+t s_{2}{ }^{\mathbb{L}}+2 s_{2} q_{v} \quad \quad l_{s} q>1, \quad l_{v} q_{v} t \in R_{x}\)
\(B(s)=1+s_{d}\).
```

Another new eighth order methods (TSM4), given by
$\mathrm{w}_{n}=\mathrm{x}_{n}-\frac{f\left(\mathrm{x}_{n}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(\mathrm{x}_{n}\right)}$.
$\mathrm{z}_{n}=\mathrm{w}_{n}-\frac{f\left(\mathrm{x}_{n}\right)+\beta f\left(\mathrm{w}_{n}\right)}{f\left(\mathrm{x}_{n}\right)+(\beta-2) f\left(\mathrm{w}_{n}\right)} \frac{f\left(\mathrm{w}_{n}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(\mathrm{x}_{n}\right)}$,
$x_{n+1}=z_{n}-\left\{2 \beta_{s_{1}}{ }^{3}\left\{\left(-t s_{2}{ }^{1}-2 s_{2}{ }^{q}-1\right)\left(s_{3}+1\right)\right\}+s_{1}{ }^{2}\left\{\left(\frac{\mathrm{~s}_{2}{ }^{1}}{2}+\mathrm{s}_{2}{ }^{q}+\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{~s}_{3}+1\right)\right\}+\cos \left(\mathrm{s}_{1}\right)\left\{\left(\mathrm{ts}_{2}{ }^{1}+2 \mathrm{~s}_{2}{ }^{\mathrm{q}}+1\right)\right.\right.$
$\left.\left.\left(s_{3}+1\right)\right\}\right\} \frac{f\left(z_{n}\right) f\left\lfloor x_{n} w_{n}\right\rfloor}{f\left[w_{n} z_{n}\right] f\left[x_{n} z_{n}\right]}$.

Method 5: let

```
\(H\left(s_{1}\right)=1+\left(-2 \beta s_{1}{ }^{2}\right)_{s}\)
    \(\beta \in R_{z}\)
\(K\left(s_{2}\right)=\cos \left(s_{2}\right)+\left(t s_{2}^{g}\right)\),
\(B\left(s_{1}\right)=\cos \left(s_{1}\right)+s+\left(m s_{1}{ }^{\sigma}\right), \quad \sigma>1_{v} \quad \sigma_{v} m \in R\).
A new eighth order methods (TSM5)
```

$w_{n}=x_{n}-\frac{f\left(x_{n}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)}$,
$z_{n}=w_{n}-\frac{f\left(x_{n}\right)+\beta f\left(w_{n}\right)}{f\left(x_{n}\right)+(\beta-2) f\left(w_{n}\right)} \frac{f\left(w_{n}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)}$,
$x_{n+1}=z_{n}-\left\{2 \beta s_{1}{ }^{3}\left(\mathrm{ts} s^{g}{ }^{g}\left(-\mathrm{m} s_{3}{ }^{\sigma}-s_{3}-\cos \left(s_{3}\right)\right)\right)+\left(\mathrm{t} s_{2}{ }^{g}+\cos \left(s_{2}\right)-2 \beta s_{1}{ }^{3} \cos \left(s_{2}\right)\right)\left(m s_{3}{ }^{\sigma}+s_{3}\right.\right.$
$\left.\left.+\cos \left(s_{3}\right)\right)\right\} \frac{f\left(z_{n}\right) f\left(x_{n} w_{n}\right\rfloor}{f\left[w_{n} z_{n}\right] f\left[x_{n} z_{n}\right]}$.

## 4. Numerical results

In this section, we present some numerical test to illustrate the efficiency of the new methods. We compared the performance of (TSM1-TSM5) of the new optimal eighth-order methods, with the Newton's method (NM), (2), King's method (KM), (3), and some optimal eighth order methods for example, (BWRM), proposed by Bi-WuRen in [3], given by
$y_{n}=x_{n}-\frac{f\left(x_{n}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)^{x}}$
$z_{n}=y_{n}-\frac{2 f\left(x_{n}\right)-f\left(y_{n}\right)}{2 f\left(x_{n}\right)-5 f\left(y_{n}\right)} \frac{f\left(y_{n}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)}$.
$x_{n+1}=z_{n}-\frac{f\left(x_{n}\right)+(2+\delta) f\left(z_{n}\right)}{f\left(x_{n}\right)+\delta f\left(z_{n}\right)} \frac{f\left(z_{n}\right)}{f\left[z_{n} w_{n}\right]+\left(z_{n}-y_{n}\right) f\left[z_{n} x_{n} x_{n}\right]}$,
where $\delta=0$, method (LWM) proposed by Liu and Wang [10], given by
$y_{n}=x_{n}-\frac{f\left(x_{n}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)^{x}}$
$z_{n}=y_{n}-\frac{f\left(x_{n}\right)}{f\left(x_{n}\right)-2 f\left(y_{n}\right)} \frac{f\left(y_{n}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)}$,
$x_{n+1}=z_{n}-\frac{f\left(x_{n}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)}\left[\left(\frac{f\left(x_{n}\right)-f\left(y_{n}\right)}{f\left(x_{n}\right)-2 f\left(y_{n}\right)}\right)^{2}+\frac{f\left(x_{n}\right)}{f\left(y_{n}\right)-\mu f\left(x_{n}\right)}+\frac{4 f\left(x_{n}\right)}{f\left(x_{n}\right)+\beta f\left(x_{n}\right)}\right]$,
where $\beta=0, \mu=1$, and method (SM) proposed by Sharma in [13], given by
$y_{n}=x_{n}-\frac{f\left(x_{n}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)^{n}}$
$z_{n}=y_{n}-\frac{f\left(x_{n}\right)}{f\left(x_{n}\right)-2 f\left(y_{n}\right)} \frac{f\left(y_{n}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)}$,
$x_{n+1}=z_{n}-\left[1+\frac{f\left(z_{n}\right)}{f\left(x_{n}\right)}+\left(\frac{f\left(z_{n}\right)}{f\left(x_{n}\right)}\right)^{2}\right] \frac{f\left[x_{n} y_{n}\right] f\left(z_{n}\right)}{f\left[x_{n} z_{n}\right] f\left[y_{n} z_{n}\right]}$

Table 1. Test functions

| Functions | Roots |
| :--- | :--- |
| $f_{1}(x)=\mathrm{x}^{2}-(1-\mathrm{x})^{25}$ | $\gamma=0.143739259299754$ |
| $f_{2}(x)=\cos (x)-x$ | $\gamma=0.739085133215161$ |
| $f_{3}(x)=10 e^{-x^{2}}-1$ | $\gamma=1.67963061042845$ |
| $f_{4}(x)=\log \left(x^{4}+x+1\right)+x e^{x}$ | $\gamma=0.0$ |

All computations were done by MATLAB (R2017a), using 1000 digits floating point (digits:= 1000). The stopping criteria are
i. $\quad\left|x_{n}-\gamma\right| \leq 10^{-200}$.
ii. $\quad\left|f\left(x_{n}\right)\right| \leq 10^{-200}$.

Displayed in the Table2, the number of iterations denoted by (IT), the absolute value of the function $\left\|f\left(x_{n}\right)\right\|$, the absolute error, $\left|x_{n}-\gamma\right|$. Moreover, computational order of convergence (COC) approximated by [15]

$$
\rho=\frac{\ln \left|\left(x_{n+1}-\gamma\right) /\left(x_{n}-\gamma\right)\right|}{\ln \left|\left(x_{n}-\gamma\right) /\left(x_{n-1}-\gamma\right)\right|^{2}}
$$

Remark: The weighted function in (TSM1), choosing $a=3, d=t=m=1, u=2$, for (TSM2), $j=1$, for (TSM4), $l=q=2, t=1$, for method (TSM5), choosing $t=m=1, \sigma=g=2$. The last four methods (TSM2-TSM5) had been tested at only one value for beta ( $\beta=0$ ) except the first one (TSM1) it had been tested at four different values for beta $\left(\beta=0,2, \frac{1}{4},-1\right)$.

## 1. Conclusion

In this paper, a class optimal eighth-order iterative method for solving nonlinear equations has been developed. New proposed families are obtained by approximating $f^{\prime}\left(z_{n}\right)$ using divided difference and the equivalent construction of weighted function. The new class of methods have $\mathrm{IE}=8^{1 / 4} \approx 1.682$, and four functions evaluation. Comparing other methods using numerical examples to explain the convergence of the new methods.

Table 2. Numerical comparison.

| Method | IT | $\left\|f\left(x_{n}\right)\right\|$ | $\left\|x_{n}-\gamma\right\|$ | COC |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $f_{1}(x)=\mathrm{x}^{2}-(1-\mathrm{x})^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{E}} \mathrm{X}_{0}=0.35$ |  |  |  |  |
| NM | 10 | 3.32259e-325 | $3.73026 \mathrm{e}-325$ | 2 |
| KM | 5 | $2.16538 \mathrm{e}-314$ | $2.43107 \mathrm{e}-314$ | 4 |
| BWRM | 4 | $2.19735 \mathrm{e}-617$ | $2.46696 \mathrm{e}-617$ | 8 |
| SHM | 4 | 0 | 0 | 6.4216 |
| LWM | 4 | $1.15158 \mathrm{e}-752$ | $1.29287 \mathrm{e}-752$ | 8 |
| TSM1, $\beta=0$ | 4 | 6.71351e-669 | 7.53725e-669 | 8 |
| TSM1, $\beta=2$ | 4 | $4.22806 \mathrm{e}-795$ | 4.74683e-795 | 8 |
| TSM1, $\beta=\frac{1}{4}$ | 4 | $9.4418 \mathrm{e}-705$ | $1.06003 \mathrm{e}-704$ | 8 |
| TSM1, $\beta=-1$ | 4 | 6.59206e-390 | $7.4009 \mathrm{e}-390$ | 8 |
| TSM2 | 5 | $9.28842 \mathrm{e}-358$ | $1.04281 \mathrm{e}-357$ | 8 |
| TSM3 | 5 | $7.88589 \mathrm{e}-545$ | $8.85348 \mathrm{e}-545$ | 8 |
| TSM4 | 6 | $2.72499 \mathrm{e}-829$ | $3.05934 \mathrm{e}-829$ | 8 |
| TSM5 | 5 | $2.75948 \mathrm{e}-654$ | $3.09806 \mathrm{e}-654$ | 8 |
| $f_{2}(x)=\cos (x)-x_{v} x_{0}=0.6$ |  |  |  |  |
| NM | 8 | 3.00558e-379 | 1.79587e-379 | 2 |
| KM | 4 | $1.10351 \mathrm{e}-349$ | $6.5936 \mathrm{e}-350$ | 4 |
| BWRM | 3 | $8.27062 \mathrm{e}-721$ | $4.94178 \mathrm{e}-721$ | 8 |
| SHM | 3 | $9.49798 \mathrm{e}-674$ | $5.67514 \mathrm{e}-674$ | 8 |
| LWM | 3 | $2.57724 \mathrm{e}-626$ | $1.53992 \mathrm{e}-626$ | 8 |
| TSM1, $\beta=0$ | 3 | 4.04228e-689 | $2.4153 \mathrm{e}-689$ | 8 |
| TSM1, $\beta=2$ | 3 | $1.742 \mathrm{e}-614$ | $1.04086 \mathrm{e}-614$ | 8 |
| TSM1, $\beta=\frac{1}{4}$ | 3 | 1.79467e-704 | $1.07233 \mathrm{e}-704$ | 8 |
| TSM1, $\beta=-1$ | 3 | $7.31632 \mathrm{e}-766$ | $4.37158 \mathrm{e}-766$ | 8 |
| TSM2 | 4 | $1.7944 \mathrm{e}-673$ | $1.07217 \mathrm{e}-673$ | 8 |
| TSM3 | 4 | $1.01004 \mathrm{e}-673$ | 6.03511e-674 | 8 |
| TSM4 | 4 | $2.74121 \mathrm{e}-718$ | $1.6379 \mathrm{e}-718$ | 8 |
| TSM5 | 4 | $2.08987 \mathrm{e}-688$ | $3.49764 \mathrm{e}-688$ | 8 |


| Method | IT | $\left\|f\left(x_{n}\right)\right\|$ | $\left\|x_{n}-\gamma\right\|$ | COC |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $f_{3}(x)=10 e^{-x^{2}}-1_{0} \mathrm{x}_{0}=1.4$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| NM | 9 | $1.14863 \mathrm{e}-358$ | $4.15583 \mathrm{e}-359$ | 2 |  |
| KM | 5 | $1.21826 \mathrm{e}-672$ | $4.40776 \mathrm{e}-673$ | 4 |  |
| BWRM | 3 | $2.362 \mathrm{e}-362$ | $8.54593 \mathrm{e}-363$ | 8 |  |
| SHM | 3 | $9.08643 \mathrm{e}-318$ | $3.28755 \mathrm{e}-318$ | 8 |  |
| LWM | - | fails | - | - |  |
| TSM1, $\beta=0$ | 3 | $2.08835 \mathrm{e}-332$ | $7.55582 \mathrm{e}-333$ | 8 |  |
| TSM1, $\beta=2$ | 3 | $4.18088 \mathrm{e}-422$ | $1.51268 \mathrm{e}-422$ | 8 |  |
| TSM1, $\beta=\frac{1}{4}$ | 3 | $6.06631 \mathrm{e}-336$ | $2.19484 \mathrm{e}-336$ | 8 |  |
| TSM1, $\beta=-1$ | 3 | $1.52893 \mathrm{e}-364$ | $5.5318 \mathrm{e}-365$ | 8 |  |
| TSM2 | 4 | $1.51868 \mathrm{e}-317$ | $5.49473 \mathrm{e}-318$ | 8 |  |
| TSM3 | 4 | $1.73424 \mathrm{e}-317$ | $6.27462 \mathrm{e}-318$ | 8 |  |
| TSM4 | 4 | $2.90408 \mathrm{e}-381$ | $1.05072 \mathrm{e}-381$ | 8 |  |
| TSM5 | 4 | $5.87805 \mathrm{e}-332$ | $2.12673 \mathrm{e}-332$ | 8 |  |
| $f_{4}(x)=\log \left(x^{4}+x+1\right)+x e^{2}, x_{0}=0.25$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| NM | 8 | $6.57994 \mathrm{e}-276$ | $3.28997 \mathrm{e}-276$ | 2 |  |
| KM | 4 | $2.03822 \mathrm{e}-227$ | $1.01911 \mathrm{e}-227$ | 4 |  |
| BWRM | 3 | $1.53876 \mathrm{e}-428$ | $7.69378 \mathrm{e}-429$ | 8 |  |
| SHM | 3 | $9.33255 \mathrm{e}-441$ | $4.66628 \mathrm{e}-441$ | 8 |  |
| LWM | 3 | $1.57085 \mathrm{e}-388$ | $7.85424 \mathrm{e}-389$ | 8 |  |
| TSM1, $\beta=0$ | 3 | $3.52917 \mathrm{e}-426$ | $1.76459 \mathrm{e}-426$ | 8 |  |
| TSM1, $\beta=2$ | 3 | $1.005 \mathrm{e}-466$ | $5.025 \mathrm{e}-467$ | 8 |  |
| TSM1, $\beta=\frac{1}{4}$ | 3 | $2.22892 \mathrm{e}-438$ | $1.11446 \mathrm{e}-438$ | 8 |  |
| TSM1, $\beta=-1$ | 3 | $1.89319 \mathrm{e}-387$ | $9.46593 \mathrm{e}-388$ | 8 |  |
| TSM2 | 4 | $1.16514 \mathrm{e}-440$ | $5.82572 \mathrm{e}-441$ | 8 |  |
| TSM3 | 4 | $4.91806 \mathrm{e}-441$ | $2.45903 \mathrm{e}-441$ | 8 |  |
| TSM4 | 4 | $2.94173 \mathrm{e}-417$ | $1.47087 \mathrm{e}-417$ | 8 |  |
| TSM5 | 4 | $1.70735 \mathrm{e}-426$ | $8.53677 \mathrm{e}-427$ | 8 |  |
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